

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Kamat Tower, Seventh Floor, Patto Panaji-Goa

CORAM: Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar, State Information Commissioner.

Appeal No. 8/SCIC/2014

Jawaharlal T. Shetye,

Secretary Mapusa Jana Jagruti Samiti,

H. No. 35, Ward No. 11, Khorlim, Mapusa-Goa

.....Appellant

V/s

1. First Appellate Authority (FAA),
For the Office of the Directorate of Settlement and Land Records,
At Panjim-Goa
2. The Public Information Officer (PIO),
O/o. the Inspector of Survey and Land Record,
City Survey Office at Mapusa-GoaRespondents

Appeal Filed on: 27/01/2014

Disposed on: 10/04/2017

ORDER

1. The appellant Shri J. T. Shetye has approached this Commission by way of second appeal filed under section 19(3) of the RTI Act 2005. With the prayer for direction as against PIO, O/o. Inspector of Survey and Land Records, City Survey Office, Mapusa-Goa for furnishing him the requested information which was sought by him vide his application dated 4/10/13 and for invoking penal provision under section 20 (2) of the RTI Act .
2. In pursuant to the notice of this commission the appellant appeared in person. On behalf of Respondent PIO Anand Vaigankar appeared and Respondent No. 2 represented by Rajesh Paikuchelkar.
3. The Respondent PIO submitted that the information sought by the appellant is voluminous in nature and as such he offered to give inspection of the documents to the appellant and accordingly the inspection was carried out by appellant.
4. A compliance report also came to be filed by Respondent PIO on 12/10/16 interalia submitting that their office had issued the required documents to the appellant free of cost. Reply also came

to be filed by Respondent PIO on 18/01/17, 22/02/2017 and on 17/02/17.

5. The Respondent PIO on 30/03/17 submitted that he has carried the information to be provided to the appellant free of cost and agreed to furnish the same to the appellant.
6. Accordingly on the subsequent date of hearing, Appellant submitted that he has received the information and the said is furnished to him as per his requirement. He further submitted since PIO is very cooperative he has no any grievance against the PIO as such he is not insisting for penal provision. Accordingly he endorsed his say on the memo of appeal.
7. Inview of the submission made by the appellant and that the endorsement made by him on the memo of appeal nothing survives as to be decided.
8. The appeal disposed accordingly.

Proceedings stand closed.

Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Pronounced in the open court.

Sd/-

(Ms. Pratima K. Vernekar)
State Information Commissioner
Goa State Information Commission,
Panaji-Goa

KK/-fn